arXiv:1403.6511v1 [hep-th] 25 Mar 2014

CPHT-RR051.0613
IITM-PH-TH-2014-1

The Geroch group in Einstein spaces

Robert G. Leigh,[1] **Anastasios C. Petkou**,[2] **P. Marios Petropoulos**[3]
and
Prasanta K. Tripathy[4]

[1] *Department of Physics*
University of Illinois
1110 W. Green Street
Urbana IL 61801, U.S.A.
rgleigh@illinois.edu

[2] *Department of Physics*
Institute of Theoretical Physics
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
54124, Thessaloniki, Greece
tpetkou@gmail.com

[3] *Centre de Physique Théorique*
Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS UMR 7644
91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France
marios@cpht.polytechnique.fr

[4] *Department of Physics*
Indian Institute of Technology Madras
Chennai 600 036, India
prasanta@physics.iitm.ac.in

March 27, 2014

ABSTRACT

Geroch's solution-generating method is extended to the case of Einstein spaces which possess a Killing vector. This includes the reduction to a three-dimensional coset space, the description of the dynamics in terms of a sigma-model and its transformation properties under the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ group, and the reconstruction of new four-dimensional Einstein spaces. The detailed analysis of the space of solutions is performed using the Hamilton–Jacobi method in the instance where the three-dimensional coset space is conformal to $\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{S}_2$. The cosmological constant appears in this framework as a constant of motion and transforms under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 From four to three dimensions and back to four **2**

3 The sigma-model **5**

4 Conservation laws and Hamilton–Jacobi method **8**

5 Outlook **13**

1 Introduction

In 1970, Geroch exhibited in his seminal paper [1] a method for generating vacuum solutions of Einstein's equations, generalizing previous work by Ehlers [2]. His starting point was a four-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$, endowed with a metric g with vanishing Ricci tensor. A Killing vector ξ was also assumed for g, with scalar twist ω and norm λ. A coset space $\mathcal{S}$ was further constructed by modding out the action of ξ on $\mathcal{M}$. The core of the proposed method was to set an unambiguous one-to-one mapping between $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{M}$, and recast the four-dimensional Einstein's equations in terms of the data on $\mathcal{S}$: the metric h and the scalar fields λ and ω. Any new triplet $(\mathrm{h}', \omega', \lambda')$ satisfying that set of equations could be promoted to a new four-dimensional vacuum solution g′ with one isometry.

Geroch's crucial observation was that keeping the metric h within the conformal class of fixed λh, new solutions could be generated as Möbius transformations of $\tau = \omega + i\lambda$: $\tau' = {}^{a\tau+b}/_{c\tau+d}$ with $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. In concrete examples such as Schwarzschild–Taub–NUT solutions with mass m and NUT charge n, the compact subgroup of rotations $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \cos\chi & \sin\chi \\ -\sin\chi & \cos\chi \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SO(2) \subset SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ induced rotations of angle 2χ in the parameter space (m, n), while non-compact transformations $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & {}^{1}/_{a} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in N \subset SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ acted homothetically, $(m, n) \to ({}^{m}/_{a}, {}^{n}/_{a})$.

Prior to Geroch's work, important results had been obtained by Ernst [3,4] in the slightly less general case of the Lewis–Papapetrou geometries [5, 6], which possess two commuting Killing vectors. In this case, solving the vacuum Einstein's equations becomes a two-dimensional problem whose dynamics is governed by a two-dimensional sigma-model effective action. Further developments appeared mostly in this direction [7,8], and were often oriented towards understanding the general integrability properties of the two-dimensional sigma-model [9–12].[1] Such analyses provide an important and complementary perspective with respect to Geroch's algebraic solution-generating technique.

Going beyond vacuum solutions has not attracted much attention, being generally considered a hard task. Electrovacuum solutions were studied, for example, in [15], whereas

[1] The literature on the integrability issues of the problem at hand is quite rich, see [13, 14] for more references.

adding a cosmological constant has been recently addressed in the framework of Ernst's equations [16]. Even though integrability properties and solution-generating techniques are often of limited practical use, they allow for a deeper understanding and sometimes exhibit genuinely new solutions (such as the Melvin-magnetic space with cosmological constant found in [16]). This motivates our present attempt to revisit the Geroch group and integrability in the presence of a cosmological constant.

Even though Geroch insisted in starting with a vacuum solution $(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{g})$, all the requirements necessary to translate Einstein's equations to three-dimensional terms remain valid in the more general case of Einstein spaces: λ and ω are well-defined and together with the coset $(\mathcal{S}, \mathrm{h})$, they provide a faithful characterization of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{g})$. The real difficulty for proceeding along Geroch's lines is due to the limitation of the conformal class. Insisting on exploring new configurations (ω', λ') with $\lambda'\mathrm{h}'$ held fixed to $\lambda\mathrm{h}$ means, for example, that Schwarzschild-AdS and Taub–NUT-AdS spaces are not in the same family. Overcoming this obstruction requires consideration of $(\mathrm{h}', \omega', \lambda')$ with h' in the conformal class of h without further restriction. This amounts to introducing an extra scalar field κ as the conformal factor, and investigating the dynamics of the fields $(\kappa, \omega, \lambda)$ as inherited from the four-dimensional Einstein's equations, within the conformal class of the original metric h.

Our results are summarized as follows. The dynamics of $(\kappa, \omega, \lambda)$ is captured by a three-dimensional sigma model with target space conformal to $\mathbb{R} \times H_2$. The Geroch $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ algebra is realized as the isometry of the target-space H_2-factor. Restricting our attention to metrics h conformal to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_2$, the problem is further reduced to particle motion on $\mathbb{R} \times H_2$ in the presence of a scalar potential and subject to the Hamiltonian constraint. By adopting appropriately the time parameter, one reaches a Hamiltonian such that, irrespective of the value of the cosmological constant, two out of three $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ generators are broken, although they still provide two conserved quantities when the constraint is satisfied. This leads to a unified picture, and using the Hamilton–Jacobi method integrability is explicitly demonstrated, showing in particular that the cosmological constant plays the role of a constant of motion. In conclusion, when Λ is non-vanishing, Geroch's group emerges as a tool for handling the dynamics, even though only a subgroup of it provides an algebraic mapping in the space of solutions; the latter mapping transforms, among other parameters, the cosmological constant itself.

2 From four to three dimensions and back to four

We assume $\mathcal{M}$ a four-dimensional manifold endowed with a Lorentzian-signature metric $\mathrm{g} = g_{ab}\mathrm{d}x^a\mathrm{d}x^b$, invariant under a one-parameter group of motions generated by the Killing

vector ξ. The latter has norm and one-form twist

$$\lambda = \|\xi\|^2 \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Omega = -2\mathrm{i}_\xi \star \mathrm{d}\xi \tag{2.2}$$

respectively.[2] Here ξ is also the Killing one-form, and obeys identically

$$\star\,\mathrm{d}\star\,\mathrm{d}\xi = 2\mathrm{i}_\xi \mathrm{Ric}, \tag{2.3}$$

where Ric is the four-dimensional Ricci tensor. Assuming the metric is Einstein ($\mathrm{Ric} = \Lambda \mathrm{g}$), Eq. (2.3) reads

$$\mathrm{d}\star\,\mathrm{d}\xi = 2\Lambda \star \xi. \tag{2.4}$$

Using the identity quoted in footnote 2, we conclude[3] that $\mathrm{d}\Omega = 0$ and define the scalar twist locally as

$$\Omega = \mathrm{d}\omega. \tag{2.5}$$

With the above data, we can define the space $\mathcal{S}$ as a quotient of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the action of the one-parameter group generated by ξ. This coset space need not be a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ as ξ may not be hypersurface-orthogonal (which would imply zero twist). There is a natural metric h on $\mathcal{S}$ induced by g of $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$h_{ab} = g_{ab} - \frac{\xi_a \xi_b}{\lambda}, \tag{2.6}$$

which defines the projector onto $\mathcal{S}$ is

$$h^b_a = \delta^b_a - \frac{\xi^b \xi_a}{\lambda}. \tag{2.7}$$

For the metric (2.6), the volume form and the fully antisymmetric tensor read:

$$\mathrm{Vol_h} = \frac{\mp 1}{\sqrt{\pm\lambda}} \mathrm{i}_\xi \mathrm{Vol_g} \Leftrightarrow \eta_{abc} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{\pm\lambda}} \eta_{abcd}\xi^d. \tag{2.8}$$

We will assume for concreteness $\lambda < 0$ so that the Killing vector ξ is time-like and h is positive-definite. This corresponds to the lower signs in (2.8).

[2] We follow in this section the original presentation of Geroch (the appendix of [1] provides a useful complementary reading). Here "$\star$" is the four-dimensional Hodge duality performed with $\eta_{abcd} = \sqrt{-g}\,\epsilon_{abcd}$ ($\epsilon_{0123} = 1$). We also recall that for ζ a vector and ϖ a form

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta \varpi = \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{i}_\zeta \varpi) + \mathrm{i}_\zeta \mathrm{d}\varpi,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ is the Lie derivative along ζ and i_ζ is the contraction with ζ.

[3] This conclusion actually holds whenever ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor. This is trivial in vacuum and straightforward for Einstein spaces, but may occur in other instances, which we have not explored here.

Following [1], let us quote hereafter some basic features of the geometrical relationship between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between tensors on $\mathcal{S}$ and tensors T on $\mathcal{M}$ that satisfy $\mathrm{i}_\xi T = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi T = 0$ (*i.e.* transverse and invariant with respect to the Killing flow). Assume now a tensor T on $\mathcal{S}$. It is easy to show that the covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}$ defined following this correspondence,

$$\mathcal{D}_c T_{a_1\ldots a_p}{}^{b_1\ldots b_q} = h_c^\ell h_{a_1}^{m_1}\ldots h_{a_p}^{m_p} h_{n_1}^{b_1}\ldots h_{n_q}^{b_q} \nabla_\ell T_{b_1\ldots b_p}{}^{n_1\ldots n_q} \tag{2.9}$$

with ∇ the Levi–Civita derivative on $\mathcal{M}$, coincides with the unique Levi–Civita covariant derivative on $\mathcal{S}$. This provides a Riemann tensor on $\mathcal{S}$ in terms of the Riemann tensor of $\mathcal{M}$:[4]

$$\mathcal{R}_{abcd} = h_{[a}^p h_{b]}^q h_{[c}^r h_{d]}^s \left(R_{pqrs} + \tfrac{2}{\lambda}\left(\nabla_p\xi_q\nabla_r\xi_s + \nabla_p\xi_r\nabla_q\xi_s\right)\right) \tag{2.10}$$

(the calligraphic letters refer to $\mathcal{S}$ tensors).

The existence of a Killing vector ξ on $(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{g})$ allows us to recast the dynamics of g in terms of $(\mathrm{h}', \omega', \lambda')$, which can all be regarded as fields on $\mathcal{S}$. For that, one extracts the $\mathcal{S}$-Ricci tensor $\mathcal{R}_{ab}$ from (2.10) and further determines the $\mathcal{S}$-Laplacians of λ and ω. The final equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{ab} &= \tfrac{1}{2\lambda^2}\left(\mathcal{D}_a\omega\mathcal{D}_b\omega - h_{ab}\mathcal{D}^c\omega\mathcal{D}_c\omega\right) + \tfrac{1}{2\lambda}\mathcal{D}_a\mathcal{D}_b\lambda - \tfrac{1}{4\lambda^2}\mathcal{D}_a\lambda\mathcal{D}_b\lambda + h_a^m h_b^n R_{mn},\\
\mathcal{D}^2\lambda &= \tfrac{1}{2\lambda}\left(\mathcal{D}^c\lambda\mathcal{D}_c\lambda - 2\mathcal{D}^c\omega\mathcal{D}_c\omega\right) - 2R_{mn}\xi^m\xi^n,\\
\mathcal{D}^2\omega &= \tfrac{3}{2\lambda}\mathcal{D}^c\lambda\mathcal{D}_c\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Equations (2.11) provide in principle new solutions $(\mathrm{h}', \omega', \lambda')$. Without ambiguity, the latter can be promoted to a new metric g' with symmetry ξ' on $\mathcal{M}$. The procedure is based on the following observation: the two-form defined on $\mathcal{S}$ as[5]

$$\mathrm{F}' = \frac{1}{(-\lambda')^{3/2}} \star^3_{\mathrm{h}'} \mathrm{d}\omega' \tag{2.12}$$

is *closed*. Thus, locally

$$\mathrm{F}' = \mathrm{d}\eta'. \tag{2.13}$$

The field η', *a priori* defined on $\mathcal{S}$, can be promoted to a field on $\mathcal{M}$ by adding the necessary exact piece such that its normalization is

$$\mathrm{i}_\xi\eta' = 1. \tag{2.14}$$

[4]Equations (2.10) are more general than Gauss–Codazzi equations, since ξ needs not be hypersurface orthogonal.

[5]Here $\star^3_{\mathrm{h}'}$ stands for the three-dimensional Hodge-dual with respect to h'.

This defines a new Killing field on $\mathcal{M}$

$$\xi' = \eta'\lambda' \tag{2.15}$$

and the new four-dimensional metric reads:

$$g'_{ab} = h'_{ab} + \frac{\xi'_a \xi'_b}{\lambda'}. \tag{2.16}$$

3 The sigma-model

Equations (2.11) can be recast in a more useful manner by introducing a three-dimensional reference metric $\hat{\mathrm{h}}$ defined as

$$h_{ab} = \frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\hat{h}_{ab}. \tag{3.1}$$

This allows to probe solutions, which have a common $\hat{\mathrm{h}}$ but different $(\kappa, \omega, \lambda)$. As advertised in the introduction, the scalar κ provides the necessary conformal freedom for the system to capture e.g. mass and NUT parameters within the same reference[6] three-dimensional metric.

Assuming g is Einstein

$$R_{ab} = \Lambda g_{ab}, \tag{3.2}$$

and introducing $\tau = \omega + i\lambda$, Eqs. (2.11) read:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ab} &= -\tfrac{2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{(a}\tau\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{b)}\bar{\tau} + \tfrac{1}{2\kappa}\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_a\hat{\mathcal{D}}_b\kappa + \hat{h}_{ab}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\kappa\right) \\
&\quad -\tfrac{1}{4\kappa^2}\left(3\hat{\mathcal{D}}_a\kappa\hat{\mathcal{D}}_b\kappa + \hat{h}_{ab}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\kappa\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\kappa\right) + 4i\Lambda\tfrac{\kappa}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\hat{h}_{ab}, \qquad (3.3)\\
\hat{\mathcal{D}}^2\tau &= \tfrac{2}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\tau\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\tau - \tfrac{1}{2\kappa}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\kappa\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\tau - 2i\Lambda\kappa, \qquad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where all hatted quantities refer to the metric $\hat{\mathrm{h}}$. These equations describe the dynamics of the fields $(\hat{\mathrm{h}}, \kappa, \tau)$, the equation for κ being the trace of (3.3):

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = -\tfrac{2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\tau\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\bar{\tau} + \tfrac{2}{\kappa}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\kappa - \tfrac{3}{2\kappa^2}\hat{\mathcal{D}}^c\kappa\hat{\mathcal{D}}_c\kappa + 12i\Lambda\tfrac{\kappa}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Equations (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) can be obtained by extremizing, with respect to $\hat{h}_{ab}$, τ and κ, the sigma-model action

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathrm{d}^3x\sqrt{\hat{h}}\mathcal{L}, \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-\kappa}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathcal{D}}^a\kappa\hat{\mathcal{D}}_a\kappa}{2\kappa^2} + 2\frac{\hat{\mathcal{D}}^a\tau\hat{\mathcal{D}}_a\bar{\tau}}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2} + \hat{\mathcal{R}} - 4i\Lambda\frac{\kappa}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

For the κ, ω and λ "matter", the target space is three-dimensional with metric read off from

[6] The original Geroch's reference metric was defined as $h_{ab} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\tilde{h}_{ab}$.

the kinetic term:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2_{\text{target}} = \sqrt{-\kappa}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}\kappa^2}{\kappa^2} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega^2 + \mathrm{d}\lambda^2}{\lambda^2}\right). \tag{3.8}$$

This metric is conformal to $\mathbb{R} \times H_2$, which has an $\mathbb{R} \times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ isometry group generated by

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\kappa\partial_\kappa, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\xi_+ = \partial_\omega, \quad \xi_- = \left(\lambda^2 - \omega^2\right)\partial_\omega - 2\omega\lambda\partial_\lambda, \quad \xi_2 = \omega\partial_\omega + \lambda\partial_\lambda, \tag{3.10}$$

obeying

$$[\xi_+, \xi_-] = -2\xi_2, \quad [\xi_+, \xi_2] = \xi_+, \quad [\xi_2, \xi_-] = \xi_-. \tag{3.11}$$

For the metric (3.8), ζ is a conformal Killing field, whereas the ξs remain Killing, and generate the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ Geroch group. The quadratic Casimir of the latter is generated by the Killing tensor $\Xi = -\kappa/\lambda^2\left(\mathrm{d}\omega^2 + \mathrm{d}\lambda^2\right)$.

The $(\kappa, \omega, \lambda)$ "matter" potential,

$$\mathcal{V} = \sqrt{-\kappa}\left(\hat{\mathcal{R}} - 2\Lambda\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\right), \tag{3.12}$$

breaks part of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry, which makes the integrability analysis more intricate. Let us note for the time that it is invariant under ξ_+ only.[7]

In the present note we will focus on a mini-superspace analysis of the integrability properties of (3.6)–(3.7), leaving the general investigation for future work. We will assume that the space $\mathcal{S}$ is topologically $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S}_2$, and the metric $\hat{\mathrm{h}}$ of the form

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{s}^2 = \mathrm{d}\sigma^2 + \mathrm{d}\Omega^2, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2$ is the two-dimensional σ-independent piece. We will further assume that the functions κ, ω, λ depend on the coordinate σ only. This choice is motivated by the general structure of Einstein exact solutions such as Schwarzschild or Taub–NUT. With the ansatz (3.13), the Ricci tensor reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{ab}\mathrm{d}x^a\mathrm{d}x^b = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}{2}\mathrm{d}\Omega^2, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is the scalar curvature of both $\mathrm{d}\hat{s}^2$ and $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2$, and depends *a priori* on $\mathcal{S}_2$ coordinates.

Equation (3.3) has now two independent components, the trace part (3.5) and the trans-

[7] The potential is also conformally invariant under $2\zeta + \xi_2$, but this observation is of no interest for the subsequent developments.

verse part. These read[8]

$$\ddot{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\dot{\tau}\dot{\bar{\tau}} + \frac{3}{4\kappa}\dot{\kappa}^2 + \kappa\left(\frac{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}{2} - 6i\Lambda\frac{\kappa}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\right), \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\dot{\tau}\dot{\bar{\tau}} + 4i\Lambda\frac{\kappa}{\tau-\bar{\tau}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\dot{\kappa}^2, \tag{3.16}$$

respectively. Furthermore, Eq. (3.4) takes the form

$$\ddot{\tau} = \frac{2}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\dot{\tau}^2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa}\dot{\kappa}\dot{\tau} - 2i\kappa\Lambda. \tag{3.17}$$

Equations (3.15) and (3.17) describe the dynamics of $\kappa(\sigma)$ and $\tau(\sigma)$. Equation (3.16) shows that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ can only depend on σ whereas it is known to depend on $\mathcal{S}_2$ coordinates. It must therefore be constant and thus $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2$ is necessarily a metric on S^2, E_2 or H_2 with $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = 2\ell$, $\ell = 1, 0, -1$:

$$\mathrm{d}\Omega^2 = \mathrm{d}\chi^2 + f_\ell(\chi)\mathrm{d}\psi^2, \tag{3.18}$$

$$f_\ell(\chi) = \begin{cases} \sin^2\chi & \ell = 1 \\ \chi^2 & \ell = 0 \\ \sinh^2\chi & \ell = -1. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

Notice finally that Eq. (3.16) is first-order and is thus a constraint – a common occurrence in solving Einstein's equations – ensuring the consistency of the reduction (3.13).

Following the above Lagrangian scheme, the equations of motion (3.15) and (3.17) are obtained using

$$L = \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2}\left[-\left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}}{\kappa}\right)^2 - 4\frac{\dot{\tau}\dot{\bar{\tau}}}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2} - 4\left(\ell - 2i\Lambda\frac{\kappa}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\right)\right], \tag{3.20}$$

which is the mini-superspace version of (3.7). Equation (3.16) appears now simply as the *Hamiltonian constraint*

$$H = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Solving Einstein's equations in the present form, amounts to studying the motion of a particle on the three-dimensional space-time with metric (3.8), interacting with a scalar potential

$$V = 2\sqrt{-\kappa}\left(\ell - \Lambda\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\right), \tag{3.22}$$

and obeying the zero-energy condition (3.21).

For zero cosmological constant, the full Lagrangian (3.20) is invariant under the full

[8]The dot stands for the derivative with respect to σ.

$SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ generated by (3.10). This allows to scan algebraically the space of solutions, even when κ is frozen [1]. As it will become clear very soon, this κ will play a genuinely dynamical role for non-vanishing Λ, where integrability is still at work despite the reduction of the symmetry due to the potential (3.22).

4 Conservation laws and Hamilton–Jacobi method

We will now proceed to discuss the role of the Geroch $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ group as solution-generating, as well as the integrability properties of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. For convenience, we trade the evolution parameter σ for $\hat{r}$, defined as

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{r} = \frac{(-\kappa)^{3/2}}{-\lambda}\mathrm{d}\sigma, \tag{4.1}$$

and rescale the Lagrangian to ensure that the action remains invariant: $\int \mathrm{d}\sigma L = \int \mathrm{d}\hat{r}\hat{L}$. The reader may wonder why such a transformation is performed. In (3.20) the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry is reduced by the Λ term of the potential (3.22). The integrability properties of the model, however, are not affected as long as the total number of commuting charges remains unaltered. A unified treatment is therefore possible since non-vanishing Λ destroys only non-commuting symmetries. Transformation (4.1) is designed to alter the symmetry, and render its residual part independent of Λ. Indeed, using the generalized momenta

$$p_\kappa = \frac{1}{\lambda}\frac{\mathrm{d}\kappa}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}}, \quad p_\omega = -\frac{\kappa^2}{\lambda^3}\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}}, \quad p_\lambda = -\frac{\kappa^2}{\lambda^3}\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}}, \tag{4.2}$$

we move to the Hamiltonian formalism with

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\lambda}{2}p_\kappa^2 - \frac{\lambda^3}{2\kappa^2}(p_\omega^2 + p_\lambda^2) + 2\ell\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} - 2\Lambda. \tag{4.3}$$

As advertised, the symmetries and integrability properties of this Hamiltonian do not depend on Λ. The latter appears as a constant potential, and due to the conservation of energy, acquires the status of a simple constant of motion.

In the Hamiltonian formalism, the Geroch algebra (3.10)–(3.11) is realized in terms of Poisson brackets with the following phase-space functions:

$$\hat{F}_+ = p_\omega, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\hat{F}_- = -2\omega\lambda p_\lambda - (\omega^2 - \lambda^2)p_\omega - 4\Lambda\omega\hat{r}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\hat{F}_2 = \omega p_\omega + \lambda p_\lambda + 2\Lambda\,\hat{r}. \tag{4.6}$$

It should be stressed here that the extra $\hat{r}$-dependent terms in $\hat{F}_+$ and $\hat{F}_2$ are not necessary

for reproducing the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ algebra.[9] They are needed, however, for $\hat{F}_2$ to generate a first integral. Indeed, the Poisson brackets with the Hamiltonian[10] read:

$$\{\hat{H},\hat{F}_+\} = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\{\hat{H},\hat{F}_2\} = -\hat{H}-2\Lambda, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\{\hat{H},\hat{F}_-\} = 2\omega\hat{H}+4\Lambda\Big(\omega+\frac{\hat{r}\lambda^3 p_\omega}{\kappa^2}\Big), \tag{4.9}$$

whereas

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{F}_+}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}} = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{F}_2}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}} = -\hat{H}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{F}_-}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}} = 2\omega\hat{H}+4\Lambda\frac{\hat{r}\lambda^3 p_\omega}{\kappa^2}. \tag{4.12}$$

The above analysis shows that on the $\hat{H}=0$ surface, $\hat{F}_+$ and $\hat{F}_2$ are conserved. This reflects the solution-generating role of the Geroch subgroup $N\subset SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. For the case $\Lambda=0$, all three dynamical functions $F_\pm$ and F_2 are conserved. Nevertheless, the integrability properties remain unaltered despite the reduction of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ first integrals. This is not surprising since only the commuting first integrals are relevant for the integrability issues. We will here discuss these properties using the Hamilton–Jacobi method. This has the virtue to work irrespective of the value of Λ because the latter will appear as a simple integration constant.

The equation to solve is

$$\hat{H}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial q^i},q^i\right)+\frac{\partial S}{\partial\hat{r}}=0. \tag{4.13}$$

The aim is to find the principal solution $S\left(q^i,\hat{r};\alpha_i\right)$ with 3 arbitrary constants α_i. From this solution, the equations of motion become algebraic, $\beta^i=\frac{\partial S}{\partial\alpha_i}$ with β^i new arbitrary constants and momenta $p_i=\frac{\partial S}{\partial q^i}$.

In the case at hand, the separation of variables in (4.13) is partial. Two commuting first integrals can be used for that purpose: $\hat{F}_+$ and $\hat{H}$ with values 2ν and $\hat{E}$. Hence

$$S=W+2\nu\omega-\hat{E}\hat{r}, \tag{4.14}$$

[9] It would have been enough to consider the functions $\xi_i^\mu p_\mu$, $i=\pm,2$.

[10] We use $\{F,G\}=\sum_\mu\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_\mu}\frac{\partial G}{\partial q^\mu}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial p_\mu}\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^\mu}\right)$ and remind that $\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}\hat{r}}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial\hat{r}}+\{H,F\}$.

where W satisfies

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \kappa}\right)^2 - \frac{\lambda^3}{2\kappa^2}\left(\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2 + 4\nu^2\right) + 2\ell\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} = \hat{E} + 2\Lambda \equiv E. \tag{4.15}$$

A solution $W(\kappa, \lambda; E, \nu, \alpha)$, depending on an extra constant α must be found for this equation. Once the Hamilton–Jacobi procedure is completed, E will have to be set equal to 2Λ.

With or without cosmological constant, Eq. (4.15) is not further separable. It is however integrable and, after a few technical steps, we find:

$$W = \frac{1}{6\alpha^2}\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} - \nu^2}\left(E\left(\nu^2 + \frac{\alpha\kappa}{\lambda}\right) + 6\alpha\left(2\alpha\lambda - \ell\right)\right). \tag{4.16}$$

The general solutions can now be obtained upon substituting the above expression in Eq. (4.14) and differentiating with respect to $\alpha_1 = E, \alpha_2 = \nu$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha$. Let us introduce a new set of constants

$$\beta^1 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E}, \quad \beta^2 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \nu}, \quad \beta^3 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha}. \tag{4.17}$$

A straightforward computation leads to:

$$\beta^1 = \frac{1}{6\alpha^2}\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} - \nu^2}\left(\frac{\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} + \nu^2\right) - \hat{r}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$\beta^2\alpha^2\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} - \nu^2} = \frac{E}{2}\nu\left(\frac{\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} - \nu^2\right) + \alpha\left(\ell\nu - 2\alpha\nu\lambda + 2\alpha\omega\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} - \nu^2}\right), \tag{4.19}$$

$$3\alpha^3\beta^3\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha\kappa}{\lambda} - \nu^2} = \frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\left(\frac{E}{2}\left(2\nu^4\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} - 2\alpha\nu^2 - \alpha^2\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\right) + 3\alpha\left(2\alpha^2\lambda + \ell\alpha - \ell\nu^2\frac{\lambda}{\kappa}\right)\right). \tag{4.20}$$

The above three algebraic equations can be solved to obtain the general expressions for κ, ω, λ, as functions of $\hat{r}$ and of the set of constants of motion $E, \nu, \alpha, \beta^1, \beta^2$ and β^3. Not all βs are relevant, though: by a shift of $\hat{r}$ we can absorb β^1, while β^2 only translates ω without altering Ω. We will set them to zero without loss of generality and keep only β^3 renamed as

$$\beta^3 = \sqrt{2}\frac{m}{\alpha^{3/2}}. \tag{4.21}$$

We now proceed to solving Eqs. (4.18)–(4.20). The first is actually an equation for κ/λ:

$$\left(36\hat{r}^2\alpha^4 + \nu^6\right) - 3\alpha^2\nu^2\left(\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\right)^2 - 2\alpha^3\left(\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}\right)^3 = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

This is a cubic polynomial in κ/λ with negative discriminant and hence admits a unique solution for κ/λ as a function of $\hat{r}$. It can be noticed that this equation is factorized upon the substitution

$$\hat{r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}\left(\frac{r^3}{3} + rn^2\right), \tag{4.23}$$

with ν traded for n as

$$n = \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{2\alpha}}. \tag{4.24}$$

We find

$$\frac{\kappa}{\lambda} = \left(r^2 + n^2\right). \tag{4.25}$$

Substituting the resulting value in Eq. (4.20) and setting $E = 2\Lambda$, we find a linear equation in λ which can be straightforwardly solved as a function of r:

$$\lambda = -\frac{\Delta}{2\alpha(r^2 + n^2)}, \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$\Delta = \ell(r^2 - n^2) - 2mr - \frac{\Lambda}{3}\left(r^4 + 6r^2n^2 - 3n^4\right). \tag{4.27}$$

Hence

$$\kappa = -\frac{\Delta}{2\alpha}. \tag{4.28}$$

Solving for ω from Eq. (4.19), we find:

$$\omega = -\frac{n}{3\alpha}\left(\Lambda r + \frac{3\ell r - 3m - 4\Lambda n^2 r}{r^2 + n^2}\right). \tag{4.29}$$

It is clear from the above equations that the arbitrary parameter α plays a normalization role. The interpretation of the general solution at hand (4.26)–(4.29) is straightforward upon setting α to

$$\alpha = \frac{m^2 + \ell^2 n^2}{2}. \tag{4.30}$$

The four-dimensional Einstein metric reconstructed following the steps of Sec. 2 is a three-parameter family, where (m, n) are the mass and nut charge,[11] and Λ is the cosmological constant. It reads:

$$\mathrm{g} = -\frac{\Delta}{(m^2 + \ell^2 n^2)(r^2 + n^2)}\left(\mathrm{d}T + 4n\sqrt{m^2 + \ell^2 n^2} f_\ell(\chi/2)\mathrm{d}\psi\right)^2 + (r^2 + n^2)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{\Delta} + \mathrm{d}\Omega^2\right) \tag{4.31}$$

with $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2$ and $f_\ell(\chi)$ given in (3.18) and (3.19).

A few remarks are in order at this stage. The first concerns the role of κ, advertised as being central for the mini-superspace analysis of (3.7) to capture both Schwarzschild and Taub–NUT AdS spaces. In Geroch's original method, *i.e.* for zero cosmological constant, the Möbius transformation $\tau \to {}^{a\tau+b}/_{c\tau+d}$, which induces a motion in the (m, n) parame-

[11] Notice that from the Hamiltonian perspective of the mini-superspace analysis, m appears as a "coordinate", while n is a "momentum", as expected for the mass and nut charge. Actually, for vanishing cosmological constant, the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ automorphism exchanging $\hat{F}_+$ and $\hat{F}_-$ acts on the Hamiltonian system as a coordinate–momentum duality, and permutes m and n in the solution. For non-zero Λ, this automorphism breaks down together with the (m, n) duality map.

ter space, leaves λh invariant. Indeed, the , m- and n-dependence of the metric λh can be reabsorbed in the radial-coordinate redefinition (only $\ell = 1$ is relevant for vacuum solutions)

$$R = \frac{r - m}{\sqrt{m^2 + n^2}}, \tag{4.32}$$

leading to $\kappa = 1 - R^2$ and

$$-\lambda \mathrm{h} = \mathrm{d}R^2 + (R^2 - 1)\mathrm{d}\Omega^2. \tag{4.33}$$

Hence κ is constant over the parameter space, and re-expressing λh as $\kappa\hat{\mathrm{h}}$ does not enlarge the solution space. For non-vanishing Λ, however, neither m nor n can be eliminated from κ (or λh) by coordinate redefinition: κ varies inside the (m, n) plane. Had we dismissed κ, it would have been impossible to explore the whole parameter space.

The second remark is about Geroch's $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. For vanishing Λ, the whole group leaves the Lagrangian density (3.7) invariant and acts algebraically on (m, n). In the case at hand, Eqs. (4.7), (4.8) and (4.10), (4.11) show that only $\hat{F}_+$ and $\hat{F}_2$, generating the subgroup $N \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, survive the cosmological constant. Furthermore, owing to (4.8), the algebraic action of N alters not only m and n but also Λ. This is consistent with the fact that in the present formalism, Λ appears as a constant of motion. Under transformations in N, $\tau \to a(a\tau + b)$, the parameters get modified as $m \to {}^{m}\!/_{a}, n \to {}^{n}\!/_{a}$ and $\Lambda \to a^2\Lambda$.[12]

Finally, let us remind that in the absence of a cosmological constant, $\hat{F}_+, \hat{F}_-$ and $\hat{F}_2$ transform in the adjoint representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, with $\hat{F}_+\hat{F}_- + \hat{F}_2^2$ the quadratic Casimir [1]. One may wonder how much of this property survives Λ. Here $\hat{F}_-$ is not conserved, but thanks to (4.12), we obtain using the explicit solution (up to an arbitrary constant, set here to zero):

$$\hat{F}_- - 4\Lambda \int \mathrm{d}\hat{r} \frac{\hat{r}\lambda^3 p_\omega}{\kappa^2} = \frac{2n\ell^2}{\left(m^2 + \ell^2 n^2\right)^{3/2}} + \frac{2n^3\Lambda\left(6\ell - 23n^2\Lambda\right)}{9\left(m^2 + \ell^2 n^2\right)^{3/2}}. \tag{4.34}$$

This, together with $\hat{F}_+ = 2n\sqrt{m^2 + \ell^2 n^2}$ and $\hat{F}_2 = {}^{2m}\!/_{\sqrt{m^2+\ell^2 n^2}}$ gives the would-be quadratic Casimir

$$\hat{F}_+\left(\hat{F}_- - 4\Lambda \int \mathrm{d}\hat{r} \frac{\hat{r}\lambda^3 p_\omega}{\kappa^2}\right) + \hat{F}_2^2 = 4\left(1 + \frac{n^4\Lambda\left(6\ell - 23n^2\Lambda\right)}{9\left(m^2 + \ell^2 n^2\right)}\right), \tag{4.35}$$

which is conserved, and invariant under the action of the subgroup N only. For zero Λ we recover the already quoted result.

[12] Notice for completeness that $\alpha \to {}^{\alpha}\!/_{a^2}$, whereas the constants β^i transform as $\beta^1 \to {}^{\beta^1}\!/_{a^3}, \beta^2 \to a^2\beta^2 + 2ab$ and $\beta^3 \to a^2\beta^3$, respectively. The parameter b plays no substantial role: it formally enables us to set β^2 to zero.

5 Outlook

Summarizing our findings, we would like to stress two achievements of the present work.

Firstly, we exhibited the realization of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ group in the dynamics of the three-dimensional coset space, obtained by reducing an Einstein space along some Killing field. This dynamics is captured by a sigma model, whose three-dimensional target space is non-compact and has $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ isometry. Part of this symmetry is broken down to a subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ by the potential term. Only this subgroup generates algebraically new solutions *à la* Geroch.

Secondly, we studied the integrability properties of a mini-superspace reduction, using the Hamilton–Jacobi method. Irrespective of the value of the cosmological constant, the Hamilton–Jacobi equation is partially separable but fully integrable. One separation constant is the energy and is forced to be equal to the cosmological constant. Had we performed our analysis starting with Ricci-flat four-dimensional space–time, the possibility of finding new solutions of the general Einstein type would have arisen automatically. This option appears here because we have kept dynamical the dilaton-like field κ, and chosen appropriately the coordinate σ (Eq. (4.1)) – at the expense of breaking the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ even at $\Lambda = 0$. Mass, nut charge and cosmological constant appear as the non-trivial first integrals *i.e* the ones that cannot be reabsorbed in field redefinitions. All these parameters transform under the algebraic action of the unbroken symmetry group N. The compact $SO(2) \subset SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ subgroup plays no role in this approach and merely appears as an accidental symmetry at $\Lambda = 0$, bringing no new constant of motion.

This status of the cosmological constant is an important observation, to which we plan to return in the study of the general sigma model. The latter includes the axially symmetric case first introduced by Ernst [3, 4], and captures e.g. Kerr solution, which has (A)dS extensions.

Acknowledgements

The authors benefited from discussions with D. Bernard, G. Bossard, M. Caldarelli, C. Charmousis, A. Hanany, S. Katmadas, A. Lakshminarayan, A. Mukhopadhyay, H. Nicolai, K. Sfetsos, K. Siampos, K. Skenderis and R. Zegers. The authors thank their institutes for hospitality and financial support. Rob Leigh and Marios Petropoulos thank the BIRS center and the organizers of the 2013 workshop *Holography and Applied String Theory*, where the ideas developed here where exchanged. The feedback from Southampton University group was also useful. This research was supported by the ANR contract 05-BLAN-NT09-573739, the ERC Advanced Grant 226371, the ITN programme PITN-GA-2009-237920, the IFCPAR CEFIPRA programme 4104-2, the U.S. Department of Energy contract FG02-91-ER4070, the EU contract FP7-REGPOT-2008-1: Crete HEPCosmo-228644 and the grant *ARISTEIA II: 90748* from the Greek Secretariat of Research and Technology.

References

[1] R. Geroch, "A method for generating solutions of Einstein's equations," J. Math Phys. **12** (1971) 918.

[2] J. Ehlers, *Les théories relativistes de la gravitation*, CNRS, Paris, 1959.

[3] F.J. Ernst, "New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem," Phys. Rev. **167**, (1968) 1175.

[4] F.J. Ernst, "New Formulation of the Axially Symmetric Gravitational Field Problem, II," Phys. Rev. **168** (1968) 1415.

[5] A. Papapetrou, " Eine rotationssymmetrische Lösung in der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie," Ann. Phys. **12** (1953) 309.

[6] A. Papapetrou, "Champs gravitationnels stationnaires a symétrie axiale," Ann. IHP **A4** (1966) 83.

[7] R. Geroch, "A method for generating new solutions of Einstein's equation, II," J. Math. Phys. **13** (1972) 394.

[8] P. Breitenlohner and D. Maison, "On the Geroch group," Annales IHP **A46** (1987) 215.

[9] V. Belinskii and V. Zakharov, "Integration of the Einstein equations by means of the inverse scattering problem technique and construction of exact soliton solutions," Sov. Phys. JETP **48** (1978) 6.

[10] D. Maison, "Are the stationary, axially symmetric Einstein equations completely integrable?," Phys. Rev. Lett. **41** (1978) 521.

[11] D. Maison, "On the complete integrability of the stationary, axially symmetric Einstein equations," J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 871.

[12] P.O. Mazur, *Properties and integrability of the Ernst equations*, Ph. D. Thesis, Jagellonian University, Krakow, Poland, unpublished (in Polish).

[13] D. Bernard and N. Regnault, "New Lax pair for 2D dimensionally reduced gravity," J. Phys. **A34** (2001) 2343.

[14] Y. Bardoux, M.M. Caldarelli and C. Charmousis, "Integrability in conformally coupled gravity: Taub–NUT spacetimes and rotating black holes," arXiv:1311.1192 [hep-th].

[15] P.O. Mazur, "A relationship between the electrovacuum Ernst equations and nonlinear sigma model," Acta Phys. Polon. **B14** (1983) 219.

[16] M. Astorino, "Charging axisymmetric space-times with cosmological constant," JHEP **1206** (2012) 086 [arXiv:1205.6998 [gr-qc]].